Anatomical and Morphological Findings of the Root Canal of Maxillary Premolars and Their Prevalence: CBCT Study in a Mexican Population
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SUMMARY: An essential prerequisite to perform any dental procedure is a clear understanding and knowledge of dental anatomy and its possible variations. The root canal system is characterized for a complex morphology, which varies among populations, individuals in the same population and even in the same person. The aim of this study was to evaluate by CBCT the morphology, number, curvature, and length of roots of first and second maxillary premolars in a Mexican population. In this study 1700 maxillary premolars were evaluated by CBCT scans of patients; the axial, sagittal and coronal sections were analyzed following the longitudinal axis of each tooth. As a result 51.60 % of the maxillary first premolars had a single root, 31.03 % had two roots, 16.29 % had root fusion, and 1.07 % had three roots. 22.3 % of the maxillary first premolars showed mesial curvature, 41.9 % had a distal curvature, and 35.7 % did not show any curvature; and the most prevalent configuration in maxillary first premolars was Type V. 88.9 % of the maxillary second premolars had a single root, 3.9 % had two roots, 6.9 % had root fusion, and 0.11 % had three roots; 37.59 % of the maxillary second premolars showed a mesial curvature and 62.40 % showed a distal curvature; and the most prevalent configuration in maxillary second premolars was Type I. The anatomy of the root canal system is extremely complex and has many anatomical configurations, these clinical situations must be considered previous to performing any endodontic treatment.
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INTRODUCTION

An essential prerequisite to perform any dental procedure is a clear understanding and knowledge of dental anatomy and its possible variations, especially in the case of root canal related treatments (Kottoor, et al., 2013; Ahmed, et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2020). The long-term success of the endodontic treatment is related with different factors, such as shaping, cleaning and tridimensional sealing of the root canal system (RCS) (Razumova et al., 2020), all these factors are adversely influenced by the highly variable anatomy/morphology of the RCS (Peters, 2004; Bansal et al., 2018; Razumova et al., 2020). Since the presence of an untreated canal may be a reason for treatment failure. It is extremely important that clinicians use all the available resources to locate and treat the entire RCS, also, it has been established that diagnosis, treatment planning, knowledge of the canal morphology and its frequent variations is a basic requirement for endodontic success (Vertucci, 2005).

As previously mentioned, the RCS is characterized for a complex morphology, which varies among populations, individuals and even in the same person (Ahmed et al., 2017; Razumova et al., 2020). The RCS may divide, rejoin, and have additional canals and a variety of configurations (Kottoor et al., 2013). Different techniques have been...
previously employed to study and analyze RCS morphology, such as transparent tooth staining procedures, serial sections of isolated teeth (Estrela et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2020), microscopic analysis (Estrela et al., 2015), clinical radiograph techniques (Estrela et al., 2015; Vertucci, 2005), among others. More recently, cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) has been introduced as a tool for evaluation of morphology (Peters, 2004; Razumova et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2020) and endodontic research, becoming an invaluable, non-destructive technique for examining the shape and morphology of the RCS (Cheung et al., 2007).

Knowledge of the morphology and the most common variants of the RCS contributes to the knowledge, research, and clinical practice in endodontics, also it is important to highlight the fact that it is necessary to perform a morphology study and analysis in each population due to known variations. The objective of the study was to evaluate by CBCT the morphology, number, curvature, and length of roots of first and second maxillary premolars in a Mexican population.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

This work was authorized by the Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Stomatology, UASLP, Mexico (CEI-FE-006-020).

In the present study we evaluated 700 CBCT scans [voxel size of .76 mm] [field of view 15x15 mm] (obtained with the New Tom VGI 3D Cone Beam) of patients of the Radiology Clinic of the Faculty of Stomatology, UASLP, Mexico. All images were evaluated with Horos software (version 3.3.5). The inclusion criteria for the study were: CBCT images of healthy maxillary first premolars (MFP) and maxillary secondpremolars (MSP) with complete root formation; the exclusion criteria were premolars with immature apices, root canal treatment, restorations, or root resorption; the elimination criteria include non-assessable CBCT images or with premolars absents.

The axial, sagittal and coronal sections were analyzed following the longitudinal axis of each tooth, taking as reference three basic measurement planes located in the cervical, middle, and apical areas of each maxillary premolar. To observe the presence of the RCS, transverse root cuts were done. The number of roots, tooth length and root length, as well as the root curvature, anatomical configuration, number of root canals and morphology were evaluated according to Vertucci’s classification (Vertucci & Gegauff, 1979).

For the referential sections for the topographic analysis measurements of the upper premolars, reference lines were drawn, Line A: following the course of the cement-enamel union; Line B: perpendicular to Line A, then the three planes were established as follows: Cervical (3 mm in the direction from the cement-enamel junction towards apical); Middle (Half of the distance between the cement-enamel junction and the radiographic apex); Apical (3 mm in the direction from the radiographic apex towards the cement-enamel junction).

The obtained data were exported to PASW Statistics 18 software. Then the descriptive statistics were carried out, frequency tables and measures of central tendency were obtained. To evaluate the association between sex and the variables, Pearson’s Chi-square statistical test was employed and to compare the root length of the tooth between female and male patients, the student’s T statistical test was used. For all these tests a confidence level of 95 % was considered.

RESULTS

From the 700 CBCT scans observed, 180 CBCT images were determined to be uninterpretable, and 287 premolars were absent. A total amount of 2153 maxillary premolars were evaluated, of which 42 had endodontic treatment or restoration, 6 showed root resorption and 405 showed immature apices. Finally, 1700 maxillary premolars were included in the study, of which 841 were first premolars and 859 second premolars.

Maxillary First Premolars

Tooth Length and Root Length. 841 MFP were evaluated; 545 belonged to female patients, with a mean tooth length of 19.061 mm and a root length mean of 12.084 mm; 296 belonged to male patients, with a mean tooth length of 18.905 mm and a root length mean of 12.283 mm (Table I). No statistical significant differences were found between sex, tooth length and root length (P>0.05).

Table I. Tooth length according to sex in first maxillary premolars.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tooth length</td>
<td>Man</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>18.905</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Woman</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>19.061</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root length</td>
<td>Man</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>12.283</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Woman</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>12.084</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of Roots. 48.45 % of the total MFP analyzed had a single root, 33.9 % had two roots, 16.20 % had root fusion, and 1.45 % had three roots.
48.3% MFP of female patients showed a single root, 34.7% showed two roots, 16.8% had root fusion and 0.20% showed three roots. In comparison, 48.6% of male patients showed one root, 33.1% had two roots, 15.5% showed root fusion and 2.70% had three roots (Table II). According to Pearson’s Chi square a significant difference were found with respect to sex and the number of roots in MFP (p=0.009).

Table II. Number of roots in first premolars.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>One Root</th>
<th>Two Roots</th>
<th>Three Roots</th>
<th>Root Fusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Man</td>
<td>48.60%</td>
<td>33.10%</td>
<td>2.70%</td>
<td>15.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woman</td>
<td>48.30%</td>
<td>34.70%</td>
<td>0.20%</td>
<td>16.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>48.45%</td>
<td>33.90%</td>
<td>1.45%</td>
<td>16.20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Root Curvature. 540 MFP showed a curvature; 21.65% showed mesial curvature, 42.20% showed distal curvature, and 36.15% did not show any curvature (Table III). According to Pearson’s Chi-square no significant differences were found with respect to sex and root curvature (P=0.481).

Table III. Root curvature in first maxillary premolars.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Mesial Root Curvature</th>
<th>Distal Root Curvature</th>
<th>Straight Root</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Man</td>
<td>19.60%</td>
<td>43.20%</td>
<td>37.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woman</td>
<td>23.70%</td>
<td>41.20%</td>
<td>35.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>21.65%</td>
<td>42.20%</td>
<td>36.15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RCS Configuration. The most common configuration in MFP were Type V with a 31.74% (n=267), 20.33% showed a Type I configuration (n=171), 17.24% showed a Type IV configuration (n=145), 14.86% showed a Type III configuration (n=125), 13.43% showed a Type II configuration (n=113), and 0.35% showed a Type VI configuration (n=3) (Table IV).

Maxillary Second Premolars

Tooth Length and Root Length. 859 MSP were evaluated; 550 belonged to female patients, with a mean tooth length of 18.69 mm and a root length mean of 11.86 mm were obtained; 309 belonged to male patients, with a mean tooth length of 18.60 mm and a root length mean of 12.14 mm (Table V). No statistical significant differences were found between sex, tooth length and root length (P>0.05).

Table V. Tooth length according in second maxillary premolars.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Tooth length</th>
<th>Root length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Man</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>11.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woman</td>
<td>18.69</td>
<td>11.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>18.69</td>
<td>11.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of Roots. 88.9% of the MSP analyzed had a single root, 3.9% had two roots, 6.9% had root fusion, and 0.11% had three roots.

Table VI. Number of roots in second premolars.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>One Root</th>
<th>Two Roots</th>
<th>Three Root</th>
<th>Root Fusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Man</td>
<td>90.60%</td>
<td>2.90%</td>
<td>0.70%</td>
<td>5.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woman</td>
<td>86.00%</td>
<td>6.40%</td>
<td>0.35%</td>
<td>6.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>88.30%</td>
<td>4.65%</td>
<td>0.35%</td>
<td>6.70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to Pearson’s Chi-square no significant differences were found with respect to sex and root curvature (P=0.179).

RCS Configuration. The most common configuration in MFP were Type I with a 74.62% (n=641), 8.8% showed a Type III configuration (n=76), 8.1% shown a Type V configuration (n=70), 4.42% shown a Type II configuration (n=38), and 3.60% shown a Type IV configuration (n=31) (Table VIII).

Maxillary Second Premolars

Tooth Length and Root Length. 859 MSP were evaluated; 550 belonged to female patients, with a mean tooth length of 18.69 mm and a root length mean of 11.86 mm were obtained; 309 belonged to male patients, with a mean tooth length of 18.60 mm and a root length mean of 12.14 mm (Table V). No statistical significant differences were found between sex, tooth length and root length (P>0.05).

Table V. Tooth length according in second maxillary premolars.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Mesial Root curvature</th>
<th>Distal Root curvature</th>
<th>Straight Root</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Man</td>
<td>22.70%</td>
<td>44.80%</td>
<td>32.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woman</td>
<td>24.70%</td>
<td>38.40%</td>
<td>36.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>23.70%</td>
<td>41.60%</td>
<td>34.70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Anatomical variations.

Two morphological variants that do not belong to the Vertucci’s classification were observed in the study. Variant A (2-3-2) start with two canals in the pulp chamber, during its course a canal bifurcates into two and again joins in one; Variant B (2-3-3) start with two canals in the pulp chamber, during its course one of them bifurcates in two toward the apex. In MFP 0.83 % shows a Type A variant configuration, and 1.07 % shows a Type B variant configuration; In MSP 0.1 % shows a Type A variant configuration, and 0.2 % shown a Type B variant configuration (n=2).

DISCUSSION

In the present study the anatomy and morphology of the RCS of maxillary first and second premolars in a Mexican population were evaluated and analyzed.

Negligence, lack of planning and knowledge of internal anatomy contributes significantly to the difficulties during the RCS treatment (Estrela et al., 2015). Understanding of RCS morphology and possible variations is an essential prerequisite (Wu et al., 2020) to perform an adequate therapeutic technique (Razumova et al., 2020), and reduce failure of endodontic treatment (Wu et al., 2020).

Anatomical variations can occur in any tooth (Estrela et al., 2015), there is a high percentage of canal irregularities, such as accessory or lateral canals, and apical delta, suggesting that the cleaning, shaping and filling of the RCS would be a challenge for any clinician (Cheung et al., 2007). Clinical implications of knowledge of RCS anatomy have been related with the successful treatment obtaining full access to internal areas during the cleaning and RCS filling process (Estrela et al., 2015).

The anatomic structures of human teeth (number of roots, root canals, apical foramina, root canal isthmuses, root ramifications, root curvatures, developmental disturbances) have been evaluated by destructive and non-destructive methodologies (Estrela et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2020). The methods described in the literature include decalcification, radiography, vertical and cross-sectional cutting, histological evaluation, stereomicroscopy analysis, surgical microscopy, plastic casts, scanning electronic microscopy, cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) and micro-computed tomography (Estrela et al., 2015). Among the different anatomy classification systems, Vertucci’s classification (Vertucci & Gegauff, 1979) is used widely to study RCS morphology, the main advantage of this classification is that it covers most of the different anatomic configurations, nevertheless, since the RCS morphology is highly variable there are some variations not considered.

The populations around the world have some peculiarities that must be respected. Anatomical variations are identified in the literature (Estrela et al., 2015), but there are some populations in which there is not enough information related with the anatomical dental morphology, in the present study the most common anatomical characteristics and some variations of MFP and MSP in a Mexican population were determined.

In the study of Wu et al. (2020), performed in Chinese population by CBCT, was reported that most of the maxillary first premolars had 1 root (67.4 %), followed by 2 roots (32 %). Being the two-canal configuration (89 %) the most prevalent. For mandibular first premolars, 98.8 % had 1 root and 81 % presented the type I configuration. Also it was reported that there were no statistical differences in the number of roots or morphology in terms of sex; in another study, reported by Senan et al. (2018) and performed in MFP in Yemeni population, reported that 54.8 % of teeth were single-rooted, while 44.4 % were double-rooted and only 0.8 % had three separated roots. The most common configuration was Vertucci type IV (55.6 %). Eight specimens of the single-rooted premolars (3.2 %) had new
-channel configurations that have not been recognized in previous published studies; in a study reported by Estrela et al. (2015) and done by CBCT, was identified that maxillary first and second premolars had two roots in 66 % and 17 % of the cases, respectively, and one root in 32 % and 83 % of the cases, respectively. As much as 88 % of maxillary first premolars and 73 % of maxillary second premolars had two root canals. Finally, six percent of maxillary first premolars presented three root canals. The differences in the number and configuration of root canals of maxillary premolars evaluated in this study in comparison with previous reported literature. The above could be due to different evaluation CBCT axial planes and method, but mainly to the evaluated population being different in each study, as previously mentioned the morphology and configuration could differ depending on the evaluated group.

There are differences when comparing previous reported literature (Vertucci & Gegauff, 1979; Pécora et al., 1992; Kartal et al., 1998) in which other methods of evaluation were used, like radiographs, clearing and staining. The evaluation method in the present study was CBCT, which has been shown to be a useful method to perform a clinical evaluation of the tridimensional configuration of anatomical structures and offer advantages, when comparing with conventional techniques (Serhal et al., 2000; Asaumi et al., 2010; Rocha-Castillo et al., 2019).

**CONCLUSION**

The anatomy of the RCS is extremely complex and has many anatomical configurations, these clinical situations must be considered previous to performing any treatment related with the RCS. Also, each patient must be carefully evaluated, and must consider the anatomic, ethnic, and genetic features that could influence the treatment must be considered. Finally, the navigation by CBCT has been shown to be a precise tool to identify and predict the position of root canals in patients.

**RESUMEN:** Un requisito esencial previo a la realización de cualquier procedimiento dental es una clara comprensión y conocimiento de la anatomía dental y sus posibles variaciones. El sistema de canales radiculares se caracteriza por una morfología compleja, que varía entre poblaciones, individuos en una misma población e incluso en la misma persona. El objetivo de este estudio fue analizar mediante CBCT la morfología, número, curvatura y longitud de raíces de primeros y segundos premolares maxilares en una población mexicana. En este estudio se evaluaron 1700 premolares maxilares mediante escaneos CBCT de pacientes; se analizaron los cortes axial, sagital y coronal siguiendo el eje longitudinal de cada diente. El 51,60 % de los primeros premolares maxilares tenían una sola raíz, el 31,03 % tenían dos raíces, el 16,29 % tenían fusión de raíces y el 1,07 % tenían tres raíces. El 22,3 % de los segundos premolares maxilares presentaban curvatura mesial, el 41,9 % presentaban curvatura distal y el 35,7 % no presentaban curvatura alguna; y la configuración más prevalente en primeros premolares maxilares fue el Tipo V. El 88,9 % de los segundos premolares maxilares tenían una sola raíz, el 3,9 % dos raíces, el 6,9 % fusión de raíces y el 0,11 % tres raíces; El 37,59 % de los segundos premolares maxilares presentaban curvatura mesial y el 62,40 % curvatura distal y la configuración más prevalente en segundos premolares maxilares fue el Tipo I. La anatomía del sistema de canales radiculares es extremadamente compleja y tiene muchas configuraciones anatómicas, estas situaciones clínicas deben ser consideradas antes de realizar cualquier tratamiento de endodoncia.
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