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SUMMARY: Carrying angle, or cubital angle defined as the acute angle formed by the median axis of the arm when forearm is in fully extended and supinated position. This angle changes with skeletal growth and maturity. This study was aimed to investigate the correlation of carrying angle with bi-acromial diameter and bi-acromial diameter/bi-trochanteric diameter in our healthy young adult population. This was a cross-sectional study that included 400 (204 male,196 female) young adult students selected from Çukurova University aged between 18-25 years (mean±standard deviation of females: 20.11±2.05 years; mean±standard deviation of males: 20.45±1.82 years) which originated from different cities in Turkey. After recording demographic data, carrying angle, forearm length, arm length, bi-trochanteric diameter and bi-acromial diameter were measured by using inelastic tape measure, pelvimeter and manual goniometer. In addition, body mass index and bi-acromial diameter/bi-trochanteric diameter were calculated for each participants. The mean values of body height and weight were in following respectively for both genders: 178.53±6.40 cm (male), 163.88±5.73 cm (female); 74.89±10.81 kg (male), 57.56±8.61 kg (female). Whereas on dominant arm this angle was as in males 9.77°±2.82° and 13.94°±3.97° in females. The mean value of the carrying angle on nondominant arm in males was 9.86°±2.95° and 13.04°±4.08° in females. The mean carrying angle was 9.81°±2.82° in males and 13.99°±3.97° in females. There are linear relationship between the carrying angle and height (r=-0.474, p<0.001, bi-acromial diameter (r=-0.490, p<0.001), bi-acromial diameter/bi-trochanteric diameter (r=-0.449, p<0.001), forearm length (r=-0.366, p<0.001) and arm length (r=-0.273, p<0.001). We believe that the reference values of carrying angle will help the clinician in the management of elbow displacements, fractures, prosthetic design and diagnosis of epicondylar diseases.
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INTRODUCTION

The elbow joint, which is the complex structure provides mechanical link in the upper limb between the hand, wrist and the shoulder. Moreover, it acts as a hinge point for the forearm for powerful grasping and fine motor motions of the hand (Zampagni et al., 2008; Hassan et al., 2014). This paper was focused on the angle between the arm and the forearm defined as carrying angle (Zampagni et al., 2008; Paraskevas et al., 2004; Van Roy et al., 2005). Carrying angle or cubital angle defined as the acute angle formed by the median axis of the arm when forearm is in fully extended and supinated position (Paraskevas et al., 2004; Ruparelia et al., 2010). This angle is observed best when the shoulder is in external rotation, the elbow is in full extension and the forearm is in supination (Hassan et al., 2014; Zampagni et al., 2008). This angle is 155-180° or 0-25° by the mean of supplementary angle (Paraskevas et al., 2004).

Carrying angle especially helps in keeping the forearm away from pelvis when the upper limb swing during walking and is significant for holding objects (Srivastava & Solanki, 2015; Chang et al., 2008; Lim et al., 2014). Increasing of carrying angle may cause instability in elbow joint and pain during exercises and throwing sport activities (Lim et al., 2014). Data of the mean values of carrying angle helps to evaluate the aesthetically acceptibility level of arm and forearm deformity (Balasubramanian et al., 2006). It is reported that knowledge of carrying angle values and pathological variations are important for especially ulnar nerve problems, elbow fractures and diagnosis of lateral or medial epicondyritis (Zampagni et al., 2008; Balasubramanian et al., 2006). Furthermore, besides its ergonomic significance, carrying angle is also necessary for especially orthopedic approaches, manual therapy and reconstruction of carrying angle in elbow implantation operations (Van Roy et al., 2005).

Therefore, this study was aimed to investigate the correlation of carrying angle with bi-acromial diameter and bi-acromial diameter/bi-trochanteric diameter in our healthy young adult population due to sexes and compare them to other populations.
MATERIAL AND METHOD

The research protocol of this study was approved by the ethics committee of the School of Medicine, Çukurova University. Informed consent form was obtained from all participants before measurements. This was a cross-sectional study that included 400 (204 male, 196 female) young adult students selected from Çukurova University aged between 18-25 years (mean±standard deviation of females: 20.11±2.05 years; mean±standard deviation of males: 20.45±1.82 years) which originated from different cities in Turkey. Inclusion criteria were no history of trauma or fractures in the upper limb. After recording demographic data, anthropometric measurements were performed by using nonelastic tape measure, pelvimeter and manual goniometer. Body weight was measured with electronic scales to the nearest 0.1 kg wearing minimal clothing without shoes and height was measured to the nearest millimeter in bare foot with a wall-mounted stadiometer. Also body mass index (BMI; in kg/m²) was calculated.

Five anthropometric measurements were as follows.

- The elbow carrying angle (Fig. 1)
  - Pivot point: Fossa cubitalis
  - Fixed arm: Sulcus intertubercularis
  - Swinging arm: A line on tendon of m. palmaris longus, to the wrist.
- Forearm length: Distance between epicondylus medialis and processus styloideus ulnae
- Arm length: Distance between acromion and epicondylus lateralis
- Bi-trochanteric diameter: Distance between most lateral projection of the greater trochanters
- Bi-acromial diameter: Distance between most lateral projections of the acromial processes

The data were analyzed using SPSS 22.0 programme. The association of bi-trochanteric diameter, bi-acromial diameter, bi-acromial diameter/bi-trochanteric diameter, height, forearm length, arm length and body mass index (BMI) with carrying angle were analyzed by using Pearson’s correlation test. Differences between dominant and nondominant arm and between sexes were analyzed by independent sample t-test and p<0.05 was accepted as the level of significance.

RESULTS

Measurements were obtained from 400 young adult participants and mean age, body height and body weight were shown in Table I.

Carrying angle measurements were obtained from 800 arms (400 right, 400 left). The mean carrying angle on dominant arm in males was 9.77±2.82° and 13.94±3.97° in females while on nondominant arm same value was in males 9.85±2.95° and 14.03±4.08° in females. Statistically significant differences were not found between dominant and nondominant arm by carrying angle in males and females (p>0.05). In addition, the mean carrying angle was 9.81±2.82° in males and 13.99±3.97° in females. There were statistically significant differences were found between sexes in dominant and nondominant arm (p<0.001) (Table II).

There are inverse relationships (r=-0.474, p<0.001) between the carrying angle and height. At the same time, bi-acromial diameter (r=-0.490, p<0.001), bi-acromial diameter/bi-trochanteric diameter (r=-0.449, p<0.001), forearm length (r=-0.366, p<0.001) and arm length (r=-0.273, p<0.001) have inverse correlation with the carrying angle of the elbow. However, there were no correlation between bi-trochanteric diameter and BMI with carrying angle of the elbow (Table III).
DISCUSSION

Posture is the proportional alignment of the body segments with one another. Having a good posture means balanced body alignment and minimal applied stress on various body segments (Hassan et al., 2014). Conversely poor posture causes unbalanced body alignment because of the stress on body segments. With the passing of time, this continual stress causes anatomical adaptation even though body segments are exposed to this at low levels. Because of these changes, individual’s ability to perform and overall efficiency is affected (Hassan et al., 2014). The elbow joint, which is the complex structure provides mechanical link in the upper limb between the hand, wrist and the shoulder. Our concern is carrying angle, which is described as angle between the arm and forearm in the frontal plane and has an important role on carrying loads (Zampagni et al., 2008; Hassan et al., 2014). The mean carrying angle was found 166.64° (supplementary angle: 13.36) among 11 subjects by Braune & Kyrklund (1879) who first described carrying angle (Paraskevas et al., 2004; Mall, 1905). However, it was reported that there were no differences in carrying angle according to sex and age on right elbow (Emami et al., 1998).

In addition, a study about variations of carrying angle according to age, sex and dominancy in 275 healthy volunteers reported that in the right arm dominant group, right carrying angle was 11.25°±3.73° and left carrying angle was 10.57°±3.63° while in the left arm dominant group, right carrying angle was 10.65°±3.99° and left carrying angle was 12.93°±4.22° (Yilmaz et al., 2005). They emphasized that mean carrying angle value was significantly greater in dominant arm than the nondominant arm in both sexes (Yilmaz et al., 2005). Conversely, it was evaluated that this angle among children was greater in nondominant limbs than dominant limbs (Sharma et al., 2015). And also it was reported that the mean values of the carrying angle on right arm was 19.4° and on the left arm was 12.8° in males whereas on right arm was 21.2° and on left arm was 18.4° in females (Baskar & Kumar, 2013). It was noted that the angle varies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurements</th>
<th>Height (cm) Mean± SD</th>
<th>Weight (kg) Mean± SD</th>
<th>Age (years) Mean± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>178.53±6.40</td>
<td>74.899±10.81</td>
<td>20.45±1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>163.88±5.73</td>
<td>57.561±8.61</td>
<td>20.11±2.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table II. Average angle values according to sex and dominance of the limb.</th>
<th>Dominant Arm (degrees)</th>
<th>Nondominant Arm (degrees)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean± SD</td>
<td>Mean± SD</td>
<td>P value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>9.77°±2.82</td>
<td>9.85°±2.95</td>
<td>0.788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>13.94°±3.97</td>
<td>14.03°±4.08</td>
<td>0.837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
<td>p=0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table III. Pearson’s correlation coefficient (r) relating carrying angle and anthropometric parameters.</th>
<th>Pearson’s correlation coefficient (r)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>r=−0.474</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i-acromial Diameter</td>
<td>r=−0.490</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i-trochanteric Diameter</td>
<td>r=−0.075</td>
<td>p=0.134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i-acromial Diameter/Bi-trochanteric Diameter</td>
<td>r=−0.449</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>biceps Length</td>
<td>r=−0.366</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rmm Length</td>
<td>r=−0.273</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass Index</td>
<td>r=−0.079</td>
<td>p=0.115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
with age in both sexes (Paraskevas et al., 2004). When we analyzed the literature findings, the mean values of carrying angle were found between 6.7° and 20° in males and between 11° and 25° in females (Paraskevas et al., 2004; Allouh et al., 2013; Ruparelia et al., 2010; Kothapalli et al., 2013; Baskar & Kumar, 2013; Zampagni et al., 2008; Van Roy et al., 2005; Atkinson & Elftman, 1945). According to the literature findings, the mean values of carrying angle were found 11.8°±6.9° (Potter, 1895), 13.0°±0.2° (Allouh et al., 2016), 12.5°±0.2° (present study). There were also negative correlation with forearm length and carrying angle in this paper (r=-0.366, p<0.001).

There were several studies for carrying angle but there were not enough study about correlation of carrying angle with bi-acromial diameter, bi-acromial diameter/bi-trochanteric diameter. According to analysis of our data, bi-acromial diameter/bi-trochanteric diameter showed inversely correlation with carrying angle. Further studies are needed in this regard. In conclusion, we believe that knowledge of reference values of carrying angle will make contributions to the literature data and will be useful for the clinician in the management of elbow displacements, prosthetic design, diagnosis of epicondylitis and surgical planning for elbow reconstructions.
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RESUMEN: Se estudió el ángulo de sustentación o ángulo cubital definido como el ángulo agudo formado por el eje mediano del brazo al estar en posición completamente extendido y supinado el antebrazo. Este ángulo cambia con el crecimiento esquelético y la madurez. El objetivo de este estudio fue investigar la correlación del ángulo con el diámetro biacromial y el diámetro bi-acromial / diá-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table IV. Carrying angle measurements in various groups.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paraskevas et al., 2004.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potter, 1895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atkinson &amp; Elftman, 1945.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruparelia et al., 2010.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kothapalli et al., 2013.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Srivastava &amp; Solanki, 2015.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allouh et al., 2016.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present Study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
metro bi-trocanterico en una población adulta joven y sana. Se realizó un estudio transversal que incluyó 400 (204 hombres, 196 mujeres) estudiantes adultos jóvenes seleccionados de la Universidad de Çukurova con edades comprendidas entre 18-25 años (media ± desviación estándar de las mujeres: 20.11 ± 2.05 años; media ± desviación estándar de los hombres: 20.45 ± 1.82 años) que se origina rón en diferentes ciudades de Turquía. Después de registrar los datos demográficos, el ángulo de desplazamiento, la longitud del antebra zo, la longitud del brazo, el diámetro bi-trocanterico y el diámetro biacromial se midieron utilizando cinta métrica no elástica, pelvimetro y goniómetro manual. Además, se calcularon el índice de masa corporal y el diámetro bi-acromial / diámetro bi-trocanterico para cada participante. Los valores medios de la altura y el peso corporal fueron respectivamente para ambos sexos: 178.53 ± 6.40 cm (masculi-no), 163.88 ± 5.73 cm (femenino); 74.89 ± 10.81 kg (masculino), 57.56 ± 8.61 kg (femenino). Mientras que en el brazo dominante este ángulo fue como en los hombres 9.77° ± 2.82° y 13.94° ± 3.97° en las mujeres. El valor medio del ángulo de transporte en el brazo no dominante en los hombres fue de 9.85° ± 2.95° y de 14.03° ± 4.08° en las mujeres. El ángulo medio de desplazamiento fue de 9.81° ± 2.82° en hombres y 13.99° ± 3.97° en mujeres. Hay una relación lineal entre el ángulo de desplazamiento y la altura (r = -0.474, p <0.001, diámetro bi-acromial (r = -0.490, p <0.001), longitud del antebrazo (r = -0.449, p <0.001) y longitud del brazo (r = -0.273, p <0.001). Creemos que los valores de referencia del ángulo de carga ayudarán al clínico en el manejo de los desplazamientos del codo, las fracturas, el diseño protésico y el diagnóstico de enfermedades epicondilares.

PALABRAS CLAVE: Ángulo de desplazamiento; Articulación del codo; Diámetro bi-acromial / diámetro bi-trocanterico; Longitud del antebrazo; Longitud del brazo.
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